6560.50

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R09-OAR-2011-0875; FRL-9495-1]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, South

Coast Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the South

Coast Air Quality Management District portion of the California

State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern

particulate matter (PM) emissions from paved and unpaved roads

and livestock operations and aggregate and related operations.

We are approving local rules that regulate these emission

sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the

Act). We are taking comments on this proposal and plan to

follow with a final action.

DATE: Any comments must arrive by [Insert date 30 days from the date of publication in the Federal Register].

ADDRESSES: Submit comments, identified by docket number EPA-R09-OAR-2011-0875, by one of the following methods:

1. Federal eRulemaking Portal: www.regulations.gov. Follow the on-line instructions.

- 2. E-mail: steckel.andrew@epa.gov.
- 3. Mail or deliver: Andrew Steckel (Air-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Instructions: All comments will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Information that you consider CBI or otherwise protected should be clearly identified as such and should not be submitted through www.regulations.gov or e-mail. www.regulations.gov is an "anonymous access" system, and EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send e-mail directly to EPA, your e-mail address will be automatically captured and included as part of the public comment. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment.

Docket: Generally, documents in the docket for this action are available electronically at www.regulations.gov and in hard copy

at EPA Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco,
California. While all documents in the docket are listed at

www.regulations.gov, some information may be publicly available
only at the hard copy location (e.g., copyrighted material,
large maps), and some may not be publicly available in either
location (e.g., CBI). To inspect the hard copy materials,
please schedule an appointment during normal business hours with
the contact listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT
section.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christine Vineyard, EPA Region IX, (415) 947-4125, vineyard.christine@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document, "we," "us" and "our" refer to EPA.

Table of Contents

- I. The State's Submittal
 - A. What rules did the State submit?
 - B. Are there other versions of these rules?
 - C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule and rule revision?
- II. EPA's Evaluation and Action.
 - A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?
 - B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?

- C. EPA recommendations to further improve the rules.
- D. Public comment and final action.

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

I. The State's Submittal

A. What rules did the State submit?

Table 1 lists the rules addressed by this proposal with the dates that they were adopted by the local air agency and submitted by the California Air Resources Board.

Table 1 - Submitted Rules

Local Agency	Rule #	Rule Title	Adopted	Submitted
SCAQMD	1157	PM10 Emission Reduction from Aggregate and Related Operations	09/06/2006	05/17/2010
SCAQMD	1186	PM10 Emissions from Paved and Unpaved Roads and Livestock Operations	07/11/2008	12/23/2008

On June 8, 2010 and April 20, 2009, EPA determined that the submittals for SCAQMD Rule 1157 and Rule 1186, respectively, met the completeness criteria in 40 CFR Part 51, Appendix V, which must be met before formal EPA review.

B. Are there other versions of these rules?

There is no previous version of Rule 1157 in the SIP, although the SCAQMD adopted an earlier version of this rule on January 7, 2005 which was not submitted to us. Rule 1157 was amended on September 6, 2006, and CARB submitted it to us on May 17, 2010. We approved an earlier version of Rule 1186 into the SIP on November 14, 2005 (70 FR 69081). The SCAQMD adopted a revision to the SIP-approved version on July 11, 2008 and CARB submitted it to us on December 23, 2008.

C. What is the purpose of the submitted rule and rule revision?

PM contributes to effects that are harmful to human health and the environment, including premature mortality, aggravation of respiratory and cardiovascular disease, decreased lung function, visibility impairment, and damage to vegetation and ecosystems. Section 110(a) of the CAA requires States to submit regulations that control PM emissions. Rule 1157 reduces fugitive dust PM10 emissions from aggregate and related operations including loading and unloading activities, process equipment, open storage piles, unpaved and paved roads inside the facilities, and track out. Amended Rule 1186 controls PM from paved and unpaved public roads, and livestock operations. The rule was amended to require submission of data to

demonstrate that the street sweeper performance has not been affected by requirements in the SIP-approved rule; and also to establish a process by which aftermarket parts suppliers may qualify to sell replacement parts while maintaining the original equipment certification. EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) have more information about these rules.

II. EPA's Evaluation and Action

A. How is EPA evaluating the rules?

Generally, SIP rules must be enforceable (see section 110(a) of the Act) and must not relax existing requirements (see sections 110(l) and 193). In addition, SIP rules must implement Reasonably Available Control Measures (RACM), including Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT), in moderate PM nonattainment areas, and Best Available Control Measures (BACM), including Best Available Control Technology (BACT), in serious PM nonattainment areas (see CAA sections 189(a)(1) and 189(b)(1)). The SCAQMD regulates a PM nonattainment area classified as serious (see 40 CFR part 81), so Rules 1157 and 1186 must fulfill BACM.

Guidance and policy documents that we use to evaluate enforceability and RACM or BACM requirements consistently include the following:

- 1. "Issues Relating to VOC Regulation Cutpoints, Deficiencies, and Deviations; Clarification to Appendix D of November 24, 1987 Federal Register Notice," (Blue Book), notice of availability published in the May 25, 1988 Federal Register.
- 2. "Guidance Document for Correcting Common VOC & Other Rule Deficiencies," EPA Region 9, August 21, 2001 (the Little Bluebook).
- 3. "State Implementation Plans; General Preamble for the Implementation of Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990," 57 FR 13498 (April 16, 1992); 57 FR 18070 (April 28, 1992).
- 4. "State Implementation Plans for Serious PM-10 Nonattainment Areas, and Attainment Date Waivers for PM-10 Nonattainment Areas Generally; Addendum to the General Preamble for the Implementation of Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990," 59 FR 41998 (August 16, 1994).
- 5. "PM-10 Guideline Document," EPA 452/R-93-008, April 1993.
- 6. "Fugitive Dust Background Document and Technical
 Information Document for Best Available Control Measures,"
 EPA 450/2-92-004, September 1992.
- B. Do the rules meet the evaluation criteria?

We believe these rules are consistent with the relevant policy and guidance regarding enforceability, RACM, BACM, and SIP relaxations. The TSDs have more information on our evaluation.

C. Public comment and final action.

Because EPA believes the submitted rules fulfill all relevant requirements, we are proposing to fully approve them as described in section 110(k)(3) of the Act. We will accept comments from the public on this proposal for the next 30 days. Unless we receive convincing new information during the comment period, we intend to publish a final approval action that will incorporate these rules into the federally enforceable SIP.

III. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the Act and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve State choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the Clean Air Act. Accordingly, this proposed action merely proposes to approve State law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond

those imposed by State law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive

 Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- is not subject to requirements of section 12(d) of the

 National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995

 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those

 requirements would be inconsistent with the Clean Air Act;

 and
- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address disproportionate human health or environmental effects with practical, appropriate, and legally permissible methods under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, this proposed action does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because the SIP is not approved to apply in Indian country located in the State, and EPA notes that it will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control,

Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

AUTHORITY: 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.

Dated: November 4, 2011

Jared Blumenfeld, Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 2011-30156 Filed 11/21/2011 at 8:45 am;

Publication Date: 11/22/2011]